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Abstract

This paper describes the submissions of Huawei translation services center (HW-TSC) to the WMT22 Very Low Resource Supervised MT task. We participate in all 6 supervised tracks including all combinations between Upper/Lower Sorbian (Hsb/Dsb) and German (De). Our systems are built on a deep Transformer with a large filter size. We use multilingual transfer with German-Czech (De-Cs) and German-Polish (De-Pl) parallel data. We also utilize regularized dropout (R-Drop), back translation, fine-tuning and ensemble to improve the system performance. According to the official evaluation results on OCELoT, our supervised systems for all 6 language directions got the highest BLEU scores among all submissions. Our pre-trained multilingual model for unsupervised De2Dsb and Dsb2De translation also gains the highest BLEU.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we describe our very low resource supervised MT systems for all combinations between Hsb, Dsb and De. We first select a base pre-trained multilingual model and then fine-tune it. As we focus primarily on the supervised task, we only apply our pre-trained multilingual system with zero-shot for unsupervised task submissions.

As shown in WMT21 shared task (Libovický and Fraser, 2021), most participants use De-Cs for transfer or combine De-Cs with the low resource pairs to build a multilingual system. Fine-tuning based on a multilingual pre-trained (Fan et al., 2020) model has shown very promising results for low resource tasks. We add De-Pl data and train our multilingual pre-trained model to transfer the low resource pairs.

This paper is structured as follows: we describe our data source and data pre-processing method in section 2. We detail the model structure and method we used in Section 3. We then present the final experiments in Section 4 and Section 5, and finally we conclude our work in Section 6.

2 Dataset

2.1 Data Source

For our base pre-trained multilingual systems, we use all the bilingual data (De-Cs and De-Pl) from the latest version of OPUS. We also sample 20M German monolingual data from news (general) MT task for augmentation. For fine-tuning the systems transfer to our task, we use all the bilingual and monolingual data officially provided without any filtering strategy. We use dev set and test set together for model parameter adjustment and system selection (do not include the blind test data from the previous years).

2.2 Data Pre-processing

For all the data mentioned above, we remove duplicate sentences (Khayrallah and Koehn, 2018; Ott et al., 2018).

For De-Cs and De-Pl, the data pre-processing procedure is as follows:

- Remove sentences with mismatched parentheses and quotation marks.
- Filter out sentences of which punctuation percentage exceeds 0.4.
- Filter out sentences with a character-to-word ratio greater than 12 or less than 1.5.
- Filter out sentences with more than 150 words.
- Apply langid (Joulin et al., 2017, 2016) to filter out sentences in other languages.
- Use fast-align (Dyer et al., 2013) to filter out sentence pairs that are poorly aligned.

---

1https://ocelot-wmt22.mteval.org
We sample 3.2M Cs and Pl data from bilingual data and up-sampling 3.2M De, Hsb, Dsb from a combined dataset of all the three languages. We mix the data above and build a joint SentencePiece model (SPM) (Kudo and Richardson, 2018; Kudo, 2018) for word segmentation, with a vocabulary of 40k. We use Moses tokenizer (Koehn et al., 2007) to pre-segment sentences. We also use the combined data to build a joint vocabulary for all of our models. The vocabulary size is slightly larger than SPM vocabulary to cover more tokens, which is set to 41k.

3 System Overview

3.1 Model

Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017), as the current mainstream architecture of NMT, adopts a fully self-attention mechanism, which can realize algorithm parallelism, speed up model training, and improve model performance. Deep transformer is an variant of Transformer, which increases the number of encoder layers and uses pre-layer-normalization to further improve model performance. Therefore, in all translation tasks, we adopt the following model architecture:

• Deep Transformer (Wei et al., 2021): We refer to the Transformer-big model architecture and decrease the dim for faster training. our Deep Transformer model features pre-layer-normalization, 35-layer encoder, 6-layer decoder, 16-head self-attention, 768-dimension word embedding and 3072-hidden-state.

3.2 Multilingual Transfer

Recent researches have shown that multilingual models outperform their bilingual counterparts, particularly when the number of languages in the system is limited and those languages are related (Lakew et al., 2018). This is mainly due to the capability of the model to learn interlingual knowledge (shared semantic representation between languages) (Johnson et al., 2016) (Ranathunga et al., 2021). Transfer learning using pre-trained multilingual model (Fan et al., 2020) has shown very promising results for low resource tasks. In this task, we first select a multilingual system as the base system, then fine-tune the system with low resource language pairs.

3.3 R-Drop

Dropout (Srivastava et al., 2014) is a powerful and widely used technique for regularizing deep neural networks. Though it can help improve training effectiveness, the randomness introduced by dropouts may lead to inconsistencies between training and inference. R-Drop (Wu et al., 2021) forces the output distributions of different sub models generated by dropout be consistent with each other. Therefore, we use R-Drop to augment the pre-trained multilingual model for each track and reduce inconsistencies between training and inference.

3.4 Back Translation

Back translation (BT) (Edunov et al., 2018) refers to translating the target monolingual data into the source language, and then using the synthetic data to increase the training data size. This method has been proven effective to improve the NMT model performance. We apply sampling (Graça et al., 2019) back-translation for all language directions.

4 Experimental Settings

During the training phase, we use Pytorch-based Fairseq\(^2\) (Ott et al., 2019) open-source framework. Each model is trained using 8-V100 with a batch size of 2048 tokens for each GPU. Dropout was set to 0.1 for pre-train multilingual model, and 0.3 for fine-tuning model. The label smoothing rate (Szegedy et al., 2016) is 0.1. Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with $\beta_1=0.9$ and $\beta_2=0.98$ is also used. Furthermore, we use reg_label_smoothed_cross_entropy as the loss function and set reg-alpha to 5 when applying R-

\(^2\)https://github.com/facebookresearch/fairseq
Drop training strategy. For pre-training the multilingual model, the update frequency, the learning rate and warm-up steps are 4, 5e-4 and 4000 respectively; for fine-tuning the model, the update frequency and the learning rate is 1 and 1e-4 without warm-up. In the evaluation phase, we use Marian\(^3\) (Junczys-Dowmunt et al., 2018) for decoding and then calculate the sacreBLEU\(^4\) (Post, 2018) on the WMT21 dev set, test set and WMT22 dev set to measure the performance of each model.

5 Experimental Result

First of all, we test pre-trained multilingual models without fine-tune and get quiet low scores. Next, we fine-tune all of our pre-trained models with bitext and then select a best one according to the BLEU scores for every task.

5.1 De\(\leftrightarrow\)Hsb

Table 2 shows the results of using the selected pre-trained multilingual model to improve the De\(\leftrightarrow\)Hsb model performance.

In De2Hsb, we adopt the strategy of noised ST (Imamura and Sumita, 2018) because we have a large amount of German monolingual data. We sample 20M German monolingual for noised ST.

We find that this strategy can bring an additional 0.2 BLEU improvement. At the same time, we use all the Hsb monolingual data (including the Hsb side of Dsb-Hsb) for sampling BT, which brings an increase of 3.4 BLEU. BLEU increases by 0.2 after ensemble.

In Hsb2De, We find that both sampling BT and forward translation + sampling BT (FT+ST) (Wu et al., 2019) can bring certain improvement, but sampling BT outperforms the FT+ST strategy (0.7 BLEU vs 0.1 BLEU). After ensemble, model performance continues improving by 0.4 BLEU.

5.2 De\(\leftrightarrow\)Dsb

Table 3 shows the results of using the selected pre-trained multilingual model to improve the De\(\leftrightarrow\)Dsb model performance. We follow the same strategy as that of De\(\leftrightarrow\)Hsb.

In De2Dsb, we adopt noised ST with the same data as De2Hsb. We use 20M German monolinguals for noised ST. We find that this strategy can bring an additional 0.5 BLEU improvement. At the same time, we use all the Dsb monolingual data (including the Dsb side of Dsb-Hsb) for sampling BT, which brings an improvement of 7.4 BLEU. Finally, BLEU increases by 0.2 after ensemble.

In Dsb2De, Sampling BT and FT+ST can bring certain improvement (2.6 BLEU vs 0.1 BLEU). After ensemble, model performance continues improving by 0.2 BLEU.

5.3 Hsb\(\leftrightarrow\)Dsb

Table 4 shows the results of using the selected pre-trained multilingual model to improve the Hsb\(\leftrightarrow\)Dsb model performance.

Regarding the Hsb2Dsb task, we first fine-tune the many-to-many pre-trained model with bitext, and then combine the De2Hsb, De2Dsb, Hsb2De, Dsb2De multilingual to continue fine-tuning both Hsb2Dsb and Dsb2Hsb models. This strategy gets improvements of 5+ BLEU. Then, we perform one
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-trained model</th>
<th>De2Hsb</th>
<th>De2Dsb</th>
<th>Hsb2De</th>
<th>Dsb2De</th>
<th>Hsb2Dsb</th>
<th>Dsb2Hsb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De2Cs</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs2De</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-to-many</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>many-to-one</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>many-to-many</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Avg. scores on WMT21 dev set, test set and WMT22 dev set with different pre-trained models. **De2Cs**: pre-train with De2Cs bilingual data; **Cs2De**: pre-train with Cs2De bilingual data; **one-to-many**: pre-train with De2Cs and De2Pl bilingual data; **many-to-one**: pre-train with Cs2De and Pl2De bilingual data; **many-to-many**: pre-train with Cs2De, De2Cs, Pl2De and De2Pl bilingual data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>De2Hsb</th>
<th>De2Dsb</th>
<th>Hsb2De</th>
<th>Dsb2De</th>
<th>Hsb2Dsb</th>
<th>Dsb2Hsb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w/o R-drop</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/ R-drop</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Avg. scores of WMT21 dev set, test set and WMT22 dev set for each track without or with R-drop.

round of sampling BT for optimization.

After we ensemble the latter two models, the model performances significantly increase by 7.1 and 9.4 BLEU respectively when comparing with the base many-to-many fine-tuning model, which also proves the advantages of the multilingual model in low-resource tasks.

5.4 Unsupervised Submission

We conduct an unsupervised experiment with our many-to-one and one-to-many pre-trained model. For Hsb2De and Dsb2De, we add tags to the Hsb/Dsb monolingual data and get the German result from many-to-one model for zero-shot. Then we fine-tune the best one-to-many model with the zero-shooting translations, and get the Hsb2De, Dsb2De models, which obtains 11.5 BLEU on the Hsb2De track and 13.5 BLEU on the Dsb2De track. Based on the two base models, we continue conducting a round of BT with 2M German monolingual data, and then train the De2Hsb and De2Dsb models for submission. The BLEU scores are 10.4 (De2Hsb) and 9.0 (De2Dsb). As we have not invested much efforts in the unsupervised task, more experiments need to be done in the feature.

6 Analysis

6.1 Pre-trained Model

Due to the availability of large amount of De-Cs and De-Pl data and the similarities between Hsb/Dsb and Cs/Pl, we pre-train several multilingual models with different strategies, and then fine-tune with very low resource bilingual data. We choose the best strategy for every language direction for further fine-tuning. Specifically, we design three pre-trained multilingual models: a one-to-many model trained with the De2Cs and De2Pl bilingual data, a many-to-one model trained with the Cs2De and Pl2De bilingual data, and a many-to-many model trained with the Cs2De, De2Cs, Pl2De and De2Pl bilingual data. For each corpus we use a different tag to differentiate. Furthermore, we train a De-Cs model as done by last year’s participants, in order to get better comparison results.

Table 5 shows that data selection for the pre-trained model is closely related to the task requirements. For tasks of translating other languages into De, the many-to-one model trained with Cs2De and Pl2De corpora performs the best. For tasks of De2Hsb/Dsb, the one-to-many model trained with De2Cs and De2Pl corpora works the best. In general, the many-to-many model is more suitable for the Hsb2Dsb and Dsb2Hsb task, because the many-to-many model is trained with Cs and Pl data at both the source and target sides. With large amount of Cs/Pl data for transfer, both the encoding and decoding layers can benefit transfer for the Hsb2Dsb and Dsb2Hsb embeddings. Multilingual pre-trained models have better performance than bilingual pre-trained models in all directions. Besides, the unsupervised results also show the transfer capability of our pre-trained multilingual models.

6.2 The Effect of R-drop

Considering the limited sources and the large size of the multilingual model, we try the R-drop strat-
strategy to see whether the R-drop strategy is effective on multilingual models. Based on the optimal multilingual model for each task selected in the previous step, we compared whether the use of the R-drop strategy in the training process can lead to further improvements. It can be seen from Table 6 that after using the R-drop strategy for training, the BLEU of each track further improves by at least 1 point, indicating that R-drop does have a good effect in low-resource scenarios with large models. Therefore, we adopt the R-drop strategy for further training. In addition, Figure 1 is a graph depicting the BLEU convergence curves on the Dsb2De track. From the figure we can find that when the BLEU value increases by using R-drop, the convergence time also increases by about ten epochs.

7 Conclusion

This paper presents our translation systems for the WMT22 very low resource supervised MT task. During the experiment, We use multilinguals to build our base translation system, and then use forward translation and back translation methods to expand the size of training data for a better translation system. We also adopt test set fine-tuning and ensemble to further improve the system performance. Finally, according to the official evaluation results on OCELoT, our submission achieves the highest BLEU scores in all 6 language directions in the supervised task, and our submission of De2Dsb and Dsb2De also gains the highest BLEU in unsupervised task.
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